Exhibit 10

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	JOHN H. HEMANN (SBN 165823) JOSEPH E. FLOREN (SBN 168292)
3	THOMAS R. GREEN (SBN 203480) COURTNEY L. LANDIS (SBN 238503)
4	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442,1000
5	Fax: 415.442.1001
6	Email: jhemann@morganlewis.com jfloren@morganlewis.com
7	tgreen@morganlewis.com clandis@morganlewis.com
8	Attorneys for Third Party KLA-Tencor Corporation
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
12	
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. C-07-3798-JW COMMISSION,
14	THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR Plaintiff, CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND
15	SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND
16	SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS
17	KENNETH L. SCHROEDER,
18	Defendant.
19	
20	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 45, third-party KLA-Tencor
21	Corporation ("KLA"), by and through its attorneys Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, hereby
22	submits its amended objections and responses to the Subpoena for Records served by Kenneth L.
23	Schroeder on October 18, 2007 ("Subpoena"). These objections and responses shall supersede
24	those previously served by KLA on November 8, 2007.
25	
26	
27	
28	
P LAW	1-SF/7643633.3 THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND
47	proposione de proposito a man disposita non appondo da perso. Care dese tal

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 Iorgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

KLA incorporates by reference each and every General Objection set forth below into each and every specific response. Occasionally a specific response may repeat a General Objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to repeat any General Objection in any specific response shall not be interpreted as a waiver of any General Objection to that response.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. AMENDED OBJECTION: KLA objects to the Demands to the extent that they seek production of documents already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control, or that are equally as accessible to Schroeder as to KLA. This includes, but is not limited to, documents produced to Schroeder by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") as Initial Disclosures under F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1) ("Initial Disclosures") or in any other document production or disclosure by the SEC or a third party. Based on KLA's review of the SEC's Initial Disclosures to Schroeder, KLA believes that Schroeder has already received from the SEC thousands of pages of documents, which KLA and other parties previously produced to the SEC and other government agencies, that are responsive to this Subpoena. Because such documents are already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control or are equally as accessible to Schroeder as to KLA, it would be unduly burdensome and an improper use of the discovery process to compel KLA to produce such documents in this case.
- 2. KLA objects to the Subpoena and the Definitions, Instructions, and Demands contained therein to the extent that they impose obligations that exceed the scope of KLA's obligations under the Federal Rules or the Local Rules for the Northern District of California.
- 3. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they are overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive (including, without limitation, Demands as to which the burden and expense of locating and producing potentially responsive documents, which (if any exist) may be numerous and in numerous different locations, clearly outweighs the probative value (if any) of such documents), and beyond the proper scope of discovery. Such objections shall be made in the short form "overly broad and unduly burdensome."
- KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek production of documents that 1-SF/7643633.3

1

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

8

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 forcan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

- 5. AMENDED OBJECTION 5: KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges, doctrine, or immunity. No such privileged documents or information will be produced at any time, and any inadvertent production thereof shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege with respect to such documents or information or of any work product doctrine which may attach thereto. KLA further objects to the extent that Schroeder has taken the unreasonable position of insisting that production of any documents protected by the attorneyclient privilege or attorney work product would constitute a waiver of said privilege. KLA additionally objects to Schroeder's insistence that KLA's previous productions to the SEC and other government agencies constituted a waiver of any attorney-client privilege or attorney work product.
- AMENDED OBJECTION 6: KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek 6. confidential, proprietary, or competitively sensitive information, or information protected by rights of privacy of KLA's past and present employees under Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution and other applicable law. Absent the entry of a suitable protective order in this case to safeguard the confidentiality of the documents sought, no such documents will be produced. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent that Schroeder has refused to cooperate with KLA regarding a protective order. KLA further objects to the extent that Schroeder insists on specific designation of the categories of documents produced, which is extremely burdensome given the massive volume of documents sought by these Demands. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek documents not currently in its possession, custody, or control.
- 7. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they are not set forth with sufficient particularity, and/or are vague, ambiguous, duplicative, and unlimited in scope.
- 8. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek documents not currently in its possession, custody, or control.
- KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek documents that are no longer 9. 1-SF/7643633.3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

26

24

27

BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW readily accessible to KLA but might exist only in electronic archives or back-up files. KLA will not rebuild these electronic archives and back-up files in order to search for documents that may be responsive to the Demands.

- 10. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek to impose on KLA an obligation to investigate or discover information or materials from third parties or sources that are equally accessible to Defendant.
- 11. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek documents or information regarding third parties. The SEC has alleged that Defendant "violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws, made or caused to be made materially false or misleading statements to KLA's auditors, falsified books and records, and caused KLA to falsely report its financial results." See Complaint, ¶ 4. Thus, the conduct of or information or documents regarding any party other than Schroeder are not relevant to the subject matter of this action and are beyond the scope of permissible discovery.
- 12. KLA objects to the Demands to the extent they seek documents during the time frame January 1, 1991 to December 31, 1996 as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as well as irrelevant. The time frame at issue in this action is mid-1999 to mid-2002 "and once again in 2005." See Complaint, ¶ 1. Moreover, the Subpoena largely seeks documents that KLA previously produced to the SEC and the Department of Justice as part of the various governmental investigations pertaining to KLA and Defendant; those documents and investigations focused upon the timeframe in 1997 and after.
- 13. KLA objects to the Demands as overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and beyond the proper scope of discovery to the extent they seek documents and information available to Defendant from Plaintiff or from other non-parties. KLA, a non-party to this action, should not be put to the time and expense to produce such documents and information.
- AMENDED OBJECTION 14: By representing that it will produce documents, 14. KLA does not represent that such documents exist, but only that a reasonable, good faith search for such documents will be made and, to the extent that any such responsive nonprivileged documents exist and are not already in Schroeder's possession, those documents will be produced 1-SF/7643633.3

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN PRANCISCO

subject to the objection(s) stated provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary. and/or private information.

- KLA's objections, responses, and production of documents are based upon 15. information and writings available to and located by KLA and its undersigned attorneys as of service of these responses. KLA has not completed its investigation of the facts relating to this Subpoena, and all of the information supplied and documents and things produced are based only on such information and documents which are reasonably available and specifically known to KLA and its attorneys as of the date of service of its response. Therefore, KLA's objections, responses, and production of documents are without prejudice to its right to supplement and/or amend its objections, responses, and production of documents.
- If multiple, identical copies of any document are responsive to any Demands 16. herein, only one representative copy will be produced. Producing more than one identical copy is unduly burdensome and oppressive.
- KLA objects to the use of the terms "YOU," "YOUR," and "THE COMPANY" on 17. the ground that these terms render the Demands in which they appear vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and beyond the scope of permissible discovery to the extent such definitions expand the scope of inquiry beyond the directors, officers, employees, members of the Special Committee, and members of the Special Litigation Committee of KLA.
- 18. KLA objects to the term "COMMUNICATION(S)" as used in the Subpoena, to the extent this definition is broader than and deviates from the commonly understood definition of the term, on the ground that it renders the Demands in which it appears vague, ambiguous, and overly broad and unduly burdensome.
- KLA objects to the term "DOCUMENT(S)" as used the Subpoena, to the extent 19. this definition is broader than and deviates from the commonly understood definition of the term, on the ground that it renders the Demands in which it appears vague, ambiguous, and overly broad and unduly burdensome.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 1

4 5

3

6

7

8

9 10

12

13

11

14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28 AORGAN, LEWIS & **BOCKIUS LLP** ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

<u>SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S PRODUCTION</u> **DEMANDS NO. 1-60**

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION or the RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the SEC.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 1

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

Based on KLA's review of the SEC's Initial Disclosures, KLA believes the SEC has already produced substantially all of the documents responsive to this Demand to Schroeder. Because these documents are already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control, it would be unduly burdensome and an improper use of the discovery process to compel KLA to produce such documents in this case. Accordingly, KLA will not produce any documents responsive to this Demand which the SEC has already produced to Schroeder, as such documents are already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control, and therefore are equally accessible to Schroeder as to KLA. KLA also refuses to produce many of these responsive documents on the additional ground that they are protected by the attorney client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or

1-SF/7643633.3

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28 Morcan, Lewis & **BOCKIUS LLP** ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

other applicable privileges. To the extent Schroeder is concerned that the set of documents he received from the SEC is incomplete, KLA is willing to meet and confer with Schroeder to compare the documents he has received from the SEC with the non-privileged, non-attorney work product documents KLA already produced to the SEC.

To the extent that KLA has any responsive documents that the SEC has not produced to Schroeder and that are not protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or some other applicable privilege, KLA will produce such documents to Schroeder to the extent they do not contain any trade secrets or confidential or completely sensitive business information and subject to the entry by the court of a protective order prohibiting the parties from disclosing such documents or information to third parties or using them for purposes unrelated to this litigation. However, KLA currently believes that all non-privileged responsive documents that were produced to the SEC have already been produced to Schroeder pursuant to the SEC's Initial Disclosures.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 2

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION or the RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the DOJ.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 2

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this I-SF/7643633.3

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP

Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

Based on KLA's review of the SEC's Initial Disclosures, KLA believes the SEC has already produced substantially all of the documents responsive to this Demand to Schroeder. Because these documents are already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control, it would be unduly burdensome and an improper use of the discovery process to compel KLA to produce such documents in this case. Accordingly, KLA will not produce any documents responsive to this Demand which the SEC has already produced to Schroeder, as such documents are already in Schroeder's possession, custody, or control, and therefore are equally accessible to Schroeder as to KLA. KLA also refuses to produce many of these responsive documents on the additional ground that they are protected by the attorney client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or other applicable privileges. To the extent Schroeder is concerned that the set of documents he received from the SEC is incomplete, KLA is willing to meet and confer with Schroeder to compare the documents he has received from the SEC with the non-privileged, non-attorney work product documents KLA already produced to the DOJ.

To the extent that KLA has any responsive documents that the SEC has not produced to Schroeder and that are not protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or some other applicable privilege, KLA will produce such documents to Schroeder to the extent they do not contain any trade secrets or confidential or completely sensitive business information and subject to the entry by the court of a protective order prohibiting the parties from disclosing such documents or information to third parties or using them for purposes unrelated to this litigation. However, KLA currently believes that all non-privileged responsive documents that were produced to the DOJ have already been produced to Schroeder pursuant to the SEC's Initial Disclosures.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 3

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION or the RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the NASDAQ.

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTURNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 3

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 4

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, PWC.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 4

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form.

1-SF/7643633.3

9.

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 5

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from LECG.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 5

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

1-SF/7643633.3

10 11

13

14

15

12

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 6

All DOCUMENTS which you reviewed as part of the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 6

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633.3

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 7

All DOCUMENTS which YOU created or prepared in connection with SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION, including all DOCUMENTS concerning interviews of witnesses and summaries of option grants, including all drafts.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 7

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 8

All DOCUMENTS which YOU created or prepared in connection with SLC INVESTIGATION, including all DOCUMENTS concerning interviews of witnesses, including all drafts.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 8

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound and improper in form.

1-SF/7643633.3

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent that Schroeder's counsel agreed, during a meet and confer dated November 20, 2007, that Schroeder would wait to pursue documents responsive to this Demand.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 9

All DOCUMENTS memorializing any interactions which you attended with representatives of the SEC, DOJ and/or NASDAQ CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 9

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it 1-SF/7643633.3

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

5

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 10

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to presented to or received from, members of the press or other news media.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 10

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific 1-SF/7643633.3

Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this

Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA

and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information. PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 11

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the COMPANY'S SEC filings that refer to or discuss the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or RESTATEMENT, including drafts which YOU prepared or edited.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 11

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 12

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the SEC.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 12

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA 1-SF/7643633.3 15.

28
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 13

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the DOJ.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 13

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents 1-SF/7643633.3

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN PRANCISCO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 14

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, the NASDAQ, in any interaction with it in YOUR capacity as counsel for KLA-TENCOR or as counsel for any individual director or employee of KLA-TENCOR.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 14

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, 1-SF/7643633.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 15

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from, PWC.

but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 15

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 16

All calendars, notebooks or diaries memorializing dates for telephone calls and/or meetings of Kenneth Levy, Jon Tompkins, Gary Dickerson, Joy Nyberg, Lisa Berry, Stuart Nichols, John Kispert, Maureen Lamb, Barbara Stebbins and Mark Nordstrom CONCERNING compensation of employees, accounting for employee compensation, stock option grants and/or corporate governance issues.

1-SF/7643633.3

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & **BOCKIUS LLP** ÄTTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 16

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 17

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the dates, times, participants and length of meetings and/or telephone calls which YOU had with the SEC, DOJ and/or NASDAQ CONCERNING the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or SLC INVESTIGATION, including attorney billing records and calendar entries.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 17

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above, KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents 1-SF/7643633.3

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN PRANCISCO

protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 18

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING meetings and/or telephone calls which YOU had with representatives of the press or other news media CONCERNING SCHROEDER, LEVY, the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION and/or the SLC INVESTIGATION, including attorney billing records and calendar entries.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 18

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, I-SF/7643633.3

but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION which YOU transmitted to, read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from the press.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 19

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 20

All lists of search words, terms, or phrases that you used to search through DOCUMENTS in connection with the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION OR SPECIAL 1-SF/7643633.3

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

LITIGATION COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 20

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 21

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the RESTATEMENT.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 21

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it 1-SF/7643633.3

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

5

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

Page 24 of 60

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 22

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING KLA-TENCOR'S SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION which the law firms of Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP on behalf of the SPECIAL COMMITTEE or Morgan Lewis, on behalf of the COMPANY transmitted to read to (in whole or in part), summarized to, presented to or received from any government agency or the NASDAQ.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 22

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

1-SF/7643633.3

23.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 23

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING KLA-TENCOR's stock option grants to directors and any other non-employee of the COMPANY.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 23

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 24

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING KLA-TENCOR's accounting for stock option grants, both before and after the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION, including all DOCUMENTS transmitted to and received from PWC or LECG in connection with the RESTATEMENT.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 24

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects 1-SF/7643633.3 24.

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

--

_

to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 25

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING action or remedial measures that YOU took as a result of the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION, including documents CONCERNING resignations of directors from YOUR board of directors, terminations of officers and/or employees, cancellations of options, re-pricing of options and payment of bonuses on account of re-priced options.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 25

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it 1-SF/7643633.3

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

3

5 6

7

10 11

9

12 13

14

16

15

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 26

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING interviews of KLA-TENCOR current and former directors, officers, attorneys, employees, auditors, and consultants in whatever form (including memoranda, reports, handwritten notes, e-mails, dictation and summaries) that were conducted as part of the SPECIAL LITIGATION COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 26

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633.3

26.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 27

2 3

All DOCUMENTS evidencing the Board and/or the Compensation Committee's intention that officer grants be dated the same day as employee grants.

4

5

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 27

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 28

All DOCUMENTS concerning the Board of Directors' granting of options to Section 16b officers including all DOCUMENTS evidencing any follow-up to assure that the Secretary, General Counsel, or Human Resources had properly documented its grants.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 28

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, argumentative, lacks foundation, and is improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks 1-SF/7643633.3

production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 29

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the number and dates of options granted by the Company to each of its directors and officers.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 29

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in 28.

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28
AORCAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTURNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 30

All DOCUMENTS concerning the Compensation Committee's granting of options to Section 16b officers including all DOCUMENTS evidencing any follow-up to assure that the Secretary, General Counsel, or Human Resources had properly documented its grants.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 30

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, argumentative, lacks foundation, and is improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633.3

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 31

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BOCKIUS LLP

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING Part I, Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) and Part II, Item 7 (Management Discussion & Analysis) of THE COMPANY'S Form 10-K filed on January 29, 2007, including all drafts of these items.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 31

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 32

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the conclusions of the SPECIAL COMMITTEE set forth on pages 24 and 34 of THE COMPANY'S Form 10-K filed January 29, 2007, including all drafts these items.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 32

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. 1-SF/7643633.3

MORGAN, LEWIS &

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 33

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING measurement dates for option grants applied before and as a result of the RESTATEMENT.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 33

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in 1-SF/7643633.3

4

5 6

> 7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP AN PRANCISCO

his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Filed 06/09/2008

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 34

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING interviews of KLA-TENCOR current and former directors, officers, employees, auditors, and consultants in whatever form (including memoranda, typed reports, handwritten notes, and summaries) that were conducted in connection with the SLC INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 34

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 35

All DOCUMENTS transmitted to or received from PWC CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION, including all COMMUNICATIONS, binders, and document compilations.

.1

234

5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis &

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTURNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 35

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 36

All DOCUMENTS provided to or received from LECG CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION, including all COMMUNICATIONS, binders, and document compilations.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 36

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it 1-SF/7643633.3

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28 Morgan, Lewis & **BOCKIUS LLP** ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 37

All DOCUMENTS provided to or received from Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP CONCERNING the SPECIAL INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 37

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA objects to this Demand on the grounds that it is unintelligible; the Subpoena contains no definition for the term "Special Investigation." KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA. 1-SF/7643633.3

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 38

All DOCUMENTS provided to or received from Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP CONCERNING the SPECIAL INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 38

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA objects to this Demand on the grounds that it is unintelligible; the Subpoena contains no definition for the term "Special Investigation." KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 39

All minutes of the meetings of THE COMPANY's Board of Directors.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 39

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form.

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are 1-SF/7643633.3 35.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 40

All minutes of the meetings of THE COMPANY'S Audit Committee.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 40

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. 1-SF/7643633.3 36.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 41

All minutes of the meetings of THE COMPANY's Compensation Committee.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 41

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 42

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING KLA-TENCOR's unanimous written consents granting stock options.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 42

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form.

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the 1-SF/7643633.3

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 43

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING THE COMPANY'S decision to pay its CEO, Wallace, and any other officers or employees, a bonus to make up for re-pricing any of their misdated options.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 43

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by 1-SF/7643633.3

Filed 06/09/2008

3

5

6

7

4

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16 17

19 20

18

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

1-SF/7643633.3

28 Morgan, Lewis &

BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 44

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING COMMUNICATIONS between Lisa Berry and anyone CONCERNING stock options, accounting for stock options, stock option procedures, selection of stock option grant dates and all DOCUMENTS found on her computer relating to the same subjects.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 44

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 45

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the SLC INVESTIGATION that were shared, in whole or in part, by oral reference or otherwise with any government agency or stock exchange, including the SEC, the DOJ, or NASDAO.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 45

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 46

ALL DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any KLA-TENCOR stock option committee.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 46

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form.

KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the 1-SF/7643633.3

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

. 1	discovery of	admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents
2	protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.	
3	No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it	
4	seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by	
5	the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this	
6	Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.	
7	KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has is	
8	his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including	
9	but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.	
10	No such documents will be produced by KLA.	
11	PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 47	
12	All DOCUMENTS created by, sent by or to, or received by or from any current or forme	
13	employee of THE COMPANY'S Human Resources Department, Finance Department, Legal	
14	Department, or stock option committee CONCERNING THE COMPANY'S stock option grants	
15	which grants were dated on or as of the following dates:	
16	1.	November 14, 1991
17	2.	September 14, 1992
18	3.	July 26, 1994
19	4.	April 18, 1995
20	5.	January 15, 1996
21	6.	September 17, 1996
22	7.	October 8, 1996
23	8.	April 30, 1997
24	9.	July 21, 1997
25	10.	July 31, 1997
26	11.	October 30, 1997

28
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

13. January 20, 1998

1-SF/7643633.3 41.

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AME

November 24, 1997

12.

1		
1	14.	March 18, 1998
2	15.	April 27, 1998
3	16.	June 3, 1998
4	17.	June 22, 1998
5	18.	August 5, 1998
6	19.	August 3 1, 1998
7	20.	September 10, 1997
8	21.	October 19, 1998
9	22.	October 23, 1998
10	23.	November 3, 1998
11	24.	November 30, 1998
12	25.	December 16, 1998
13	26.	January 22, 1999
14	27.	February 26, 1999
15	28.	March 24. 1999
16	29.	April 19, 1999
17	30.	May 26, 1999
18	31.	June 15, 1999
19	32.	July 26, 1999
20	33.	August 20, 1999
21	34.	November 30, 1999
22	35.	December 16, 1999
23	36.	January 28, 2000
24	37.	February 18, 2000
25	38.	April 14, 2000
26	39.	May 25, 2000
27	40.	July 5, 2000
28	41.	August 13,2000
LP Law co	1-SF/7643633.3 THIRD PART	42. Y KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

FF/7643633.3

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIO
RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

1	42.	October 17, 2000
2	43.	November 10, 2000
3	44.	December 22, 2000
4	45.	February 9, 2001
5	46.	April 4, 2001
6	47.	May 30, 2001
7	48.	July 10, 2001
8	49.	October 2, 2001
9	50.	November 20, 2001
10	51.	January 18, 2002
11	52.	February 28, 2002
12	53.	January 24, 2005
13	AMENDED	RESPONSE TO PR
14	KLA r	easserts and incorpo

RODUCTION DEMAND NO. 47

rates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part. KLA objects to the production of documents pertaining to stock option grants before 1997.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. 1-SF/7643633.3

10RGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTURNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Page 45 of 60

2 3

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 48

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING COMMUNICATIONS sent by or received by Stuart J. Nichols CONCERNING stock options, the authority to grant or price stock options, Board ratification of stock option grants, including but not limited to, all drafts of the memorandum sent by Nichols dated March 19, 2001, and all COMMUNICATIONS with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati CONCERNING stock options.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 48

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633.3

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 49

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING COMMUNICATIONS sent by or received by John Kispert or Mark Nordstrom CONCERNING stock options, accounting for stock options, other employee compensation, the making of forgivable loans to officers or employees, SCHROEDER, Kenneth Levy, Jon Tompkins, Rick Wallace, Gary Dickerson, Lisa Berry, Stuart Nichols, the Compensation Committee or the Audit Committee.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 49

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 50

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING internal controls existing prior to the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION to assure that the granting of stock options were properly accounted for, and all DOCUMENTS relating to the training of Human Resource [sic], stock administration departments and members of the stock option committee were trained in proper procedures for granting and accounting for option grants. 1-SF/7643633.3

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN ERANCISCO

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

MORÇAN, LEWIS & **BOCKIUS LLP** ATTORNEYS AT LAW

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 50

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 51

Any and all stock charts or graphs reflecting historical prices of THE COMPANY'S stock prepared for or by, sent to or by, or received by or from any employee or officer of THE COMPANY'S Human Resources Department or any member of any of THE COMPANY'S stock option committees.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 51

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents 1-SF/7643633.3

1

5 6

4

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN PRANCISCO

protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action. No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 52

All documents CONCERNING the November 14, 1998 COMMUNICATION from Lisa Berry to Larry Sonsini of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 52

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633.3

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 53

All documents CONCERNING any meeting held on April 4, 2001 at THE COMPANY, including the "Stock Option Pricing" meeting scheduled by the assistant for Maureen Lamb.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 53

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 54

All calendars, diaries, or notes CONCERNING any witness or potential witness contacted during the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION, including DOCUMENTS

CONCERNING Kenneth Levy, Gary Dickerson, Jon Tompkins, John Kispert, Lisa Berry, Stuart

Nichols, Maureen Lamb, Joy Nyberg, and Mark Nordstrom and all of the COMPANY'S vice
1-SF/7643633.3

48.

presidents of Human Resources.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 54

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 55

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING stock options discussed and/or granted or issued by THE COMPANY to prepare for a potential change-in-control of THE COMPANY.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 55

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

1-SF/7643633.3

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKLUS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 56

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any request by counsel for Gregory Reyes in *United States v Reyes*, Case No. CR 06-4435 CRB (Northern District of California), to YOU for permission to use in that case YOUR information or DOCUMENTS that CONCERNED YOUR stock option practices, including but not limited to all COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING such request(s) for permission to use DOCUMENTS or information CONCERNING Stephen Beyer.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 56

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.

No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it 1-SF/7643633.3

4

5

3

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2627

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

Page 52 of 60

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 57

All COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING stock options or accounting for stock options sent or received by Ken Levy, Ken Schroeder, Gary Dickerson, Jon Tompkins, John Kispert, Lisa Berry, Mark Nordstom, Stuart Nichols, Maureen Lamb, and any officer or employee of KLA-TENCOR's human resources, finance, stock administration, and legal departments.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 57

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

1-SF/7643633,3

28
MÖRGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISLO

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing limitations and General and Specific Objections, KLA will produce relevant, non-privileged documents that are responsive to this Demand provided that an adequate protective order is entered that will protect disclosure of KLA and KLA current and former employees' confidential, proprietary, and/or private information.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 58

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING KENNETH SCHROEDER including all DOCUMENTS concerning his employment, responsibilities at THE COMPANY, option grants, and any action taken with respect to him based on the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION OR SPECIAL LITIGATION COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 58

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 59

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING internal controls that THE COMPANY put into place on the basis of the results of the SPECIAL INVESTIGATION to assure proper granting and 1-SF/7643633.3 52.

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

accounting for its stock options and education of its personnel and directors regarding stock option grants.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 59

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form. KLA objects to this Demand on the grounds that it is unintelligible; the Subpoena contains no definition for the term "Special Investigation." KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.

KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including, but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.

No such documents will be produced by KLA.

PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 60

All DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any decisions made by the COMPANY CONCERNING any remedial action taken or considered by THE COMPANY based on the SPECIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION, including employment decisions, requests for director resignations and stock option re-pricing decisions and bonus decisions.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO PRODUCTION DEMAND NO. 60

KLA reasserts and incorporates each of the General Objections set forth above. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. KLA objects 1-SF/7643633.3 53.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS, CASE NO. C-07-3798-JW

1	to this Demand to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous, compound, and improper in form.			
2	KLA further objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks production of documents which are			
3	neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the			
4	discovery of admissible evidence. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it seeks documents			
5	protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege.			
6	No such privileged documents will be produced. KLA objects to this Demand to the extent it			
7	seeks confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information or information that is protected by			
8	the right to privacy of any third party that KLA is obligated to safeguard. KLA objects to this			
9	Demand to the extent it contains duplicative requests, in whole or in part.			
10	KLA objects to this demand to the extent it seeks documents that Schroeder already has in			
11	his possession, custody or control or are as accessible to Schroeder as they are to KLA, including			
12	but not limited to, documents that Schroeder already has obtained from the SEC in this action.			
13	No such documents will be produced by KLA.			
14	Dated: December 14, 2007 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			
15				
16	By Mr. D.			
17	Attorneys for Third Party KLA-Tencor			
18	Corporation			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 Is & P	1-SF/7643633.3 54. THIRD PARTY KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION'S AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND			

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Exhibit 11

Page 56 of 60

Morgan, Lewis & Bocklus up One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94185 Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001 www.morganiewis.com

Morgan Lewis

Joseph E. Floren 415.442.1391 |Roren@prorganients.com

December 14, 2007

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL AND EMAIL

Shirli Fabbri Weiss, Esq. DLA Piper US LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101

Re: SEC v. Schroeder

Dear Shirli:

Enclosed please find KLA-Tencor Corporation's ("KLA" or the "Company") Amended Objections and Responses to Defendant's Subpoens for Records dated October 18, 2007. Following our lengthy meet-and-confer discussions on November 20, 2007, the enclosed Objections and Responses supersede KLA's previous response to the subpoens. We have also enclosed a privilege log. For the reasons set forth below, however, KLA is not prepared to produce any additional documents at this time beyond the 6,179 documents already produced.

KLA has learned that Mr. Schroeder abready has in his possession the vast majority of the documents that he is seeking from KLA by way of the subpoena. KLA conducted an extensive internal investigation in which it collected and produced tens of thousands of documents to the SEC in connection with the SEC's investigation of the Company. We are now advised that the SEC has simply produced those documents to Mr. Schroeder wholesale along with its initial disclosures in its lawsuit against your client. Those initial disclosures comprise more than 75,000 pages of KLA documents and apparently include all of the documents that KLA previously produced to the SEC. Amazingly, it appears that Mr. Schroeder received these documents on or around October 3, 2007, approximately two weeks before he served the subpoena on KLA seeking primarily the same documents he already possessed.

Mr. Schroeder had no basis to resort to subpoens to seek production of documents that were already in his possession. His actions in doing so needlessly caused KLA to incur substantial expense in responding to the subpoens, including grappling with complex privilege, burden, and

Morgan Lewis

Shirli Fabbri Weiss, Esq. December 14, 2007 Page 2

relevance questions and preparing documents for review and production. Most surprising is that you engaged me in over three hours of telephonic meet-and-confer sessions, the great majority of which was spent reviewing Mr. Schroeder's requests for these very same documents, without ever disclosing that your client already possessed them and the bulk of the documents subject to the subpoens. KLA is not a party to this litigation, and Mr. Schroeder's tactics appear designed to place an immense and undue burden on KLA. He has succeeded in doing so. Moreover, based on our other conversations and correspondence, it is clear that Mr. Schroeder's subpoens to KLA has more to do with his attempt to build his own purported case against KLA than it does with the defense of this action. Your client's future intended legal action against KLA is not a proper basis for a subpoens in this action.

KLA does not intend to devote any more resources to the production of the documents that Mr. Schroeder already has. If your concern is that you have an incomplete set of documents from the SEC, we are more than happy to meet and confer with you and to take appropriate steps to provide confirmation that the documents your client already has are in fact complete and genuine copies of the KLA documents sought by the subpoens.

We believe that there may be some additional documents responsive to the subpoena that were not produced to the SEC, but that all such documents are of a highly confidential and/or personal nature. Such documents, as you are well aware, are permeated with private and confidential personnel, compensation, and salary information of KLA officers and employees, as well as copious material non-public information related to the Company's restatement, financial accounting judgments applied, and personnel actions taken in connection therewith. As we have discussed, KLA will not compromise either its own confidential and proprietary documents or documents containing personal confidential information of its current and former employees. Until Mr. Schroeder changes his position regarding a protective order, KLA will not produce any of these personal and confidential documents. KLA reserves all other objections interposed as to these requests.

As stated during our meet-and-confer discussions on November 20, 2007, KLA does not intend to produce documents regarding the Special Litigation Committee ("SLC"), which is effectively Mr. Schroeder's litigation opponent. During that same call, you stated that Mr. Schroeder will wait to pursue issues related to the SLC. We trust that your position has not changed.

We also discussed at length, both before and after issuance of the subpoena, the fact that your client seeks production of many documents that are privileged and/or constitute protected work product. With regard to documents created while Mr. Schroeder was CEO or an employee of KLA, or which were shown to him during that period, KLA was willing to entertain the possibility of producing such documents to Mr. Schroeder subject to an express agreement by all parties that such production would not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine. After initially offering to so stipulate in order to smooth the way for document production, Mr. Schroeder changed position during our call on November 20 and

Morgan Lewis

Shirli Fabbri Weiss, Esq. December 14, 2007 Page 3

made clear he will not agree to any such stipulation and instead will reserve the right to contend that any such production by KLA would waive all privileges for all purposes. This continues to complicate matters for KLA in responding to the subpoens. Mr. Schroeder's claim that KLA waived all attorney-client privilege and attorney work product when it produced documents to the SEC pursuant to a confidentiality agreement is simply wrong. I have enclosed a privilege log of KLA documents subject to attorney client and/or work product protection.

We hope to reach a reasonable compromise on these issues and look forward to speaking with you further.

Very truly yours,

Joseph E. Floren

Securitles and Exchange Commission v. Kenneth L. Schroeder KLA-Tencor Corporation's Log of Privileged Documents

DOC	NUMBER
-----	--------

KT ACWP-PRIV 0001-0226

KT ACWP-PRIV 0227-0228

KT ACWP-PRIV 00000227-1165

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001168-1172

KT ACWF-PRIV 00001173-1308

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001309-1324

KT ACWP-PRIV 0001325-1330

KT ACWP-PRIY 00001331

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001736-1741

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001742-1874

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001875-1898

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001899-1976

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001977-1986

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001987-2050

KT ACWP-PRIV 00002051-2346

KT ACWP-PRIV 00002347-3255

KT ACWP-PRIV 00001331-1735

KT ACWP-PRIV 00003856-3972

KT ACWP-PRIV 00003973-3986

KT ACWP-PRIV 00003987-4047

KT ACWP-PRIV 0004048-4107

KT-ACWP-PRIV 00004108-5186 KT ACWP-PRIV 00005187-5202

KT ACWP-00005203-5209

KT ACWP-PRIV 00005210-5220